

## MATHEMATICS

## ALGEBRAS OF BOUNDED REAL-VALUED FUNCTIONS. I

BY

R. B. KIRK

(Communicated by Prof. A. C. Zaanen at the meeting of May 27, 1972)

*Introduction*

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $A$  be a uniformly closed algebra of bounded real-valued functions on  $X$ . Also assume that  $A$  contains the constants and separates the points of  $X$ . The object of this paper will be to study such algebras when they satisfy a condition called  $z$ -separating. A typical  $z$ -separating algebra is the space  $C^b(X)$  of all bounded, continuous real-valued functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ . And in fact, it will be seen that  $z$ -separating algebras possess many properties in common with such continuous function spaces.

In section 1 the structure of these algebras is discussed and some preliminary results are obtained. In sections 2 and 3,  $z$ -separating algebras are discussed at length. Several characterizations of such algebras are given in proposition 2.5. (Condition 5 of this proposition is new even for the continuous function case.) Also a number of interesting examples of  $z$ -separating algebras are described. Finally a new characterization of pseudocompact spaces is given in terms of  $z$ -separating algebras (theorem 3.9).

In section 4 is contained a study of spaces of regular finitely-additive set functions (which are used later to represent the dual spaces of  $z$ -separating algebras). In section 5 the representation of the Banach dual of a  $z$ -separating algebra is carried out. The result is theorem 5.11 which contains the representation theorems of  $C^b(X)^*$  for normal spaces ([2], p. 262) and  $C^b(X)^*$  for completely regular Hausdorff spaces ([1]) as special cases. It also yields representations for the dual spaces of the  $z$ -separating algebras in examples 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. Also of interest is proposition 5.4 which shows that the  $z$ -separating algebras are precisely the algebras for which a representation of the dual may be given in the "usual" way as a space of regular finitely-additive set functions.

Finally in section 6, it is shown how the set functions in the dual of a  $z$ -separating algebra are related to the regular Borel measures on a compact Hausdorff space  $X_A$  associated with  $A$ . In particular, if  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space, the theorem describes how regular finitely-additive set functions on  $X$  may be obtained from the regular Borel measures on  $\beta X$  (the Stone-Cech compactification of  $X$ ).

### 1. Preliminary Remarks

As above let  $A$  be an algebra of bounded real-valued functions on  $X$  which contains the constants and separates the points of  $X$ . Also assume that  $A$  is complete with respect to the uniform norm. Hence  $A$  is a real Banach algebra. Furthermore, with respect to the usual pointwise ordering,  $A$  is an  $M$ -space with a unit in the sense of KAKUTANI [7]. Thus there is a compact Hausdorff space  $X_A$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic as a Banach lattice to  $C(X_A)$ .

We briefly indicate how the space  $X_A$  may be realized. Let  $Y$  denote the set of all non-zero algebra homomorphisms of  $A$  onto  $R$ . (It is not hard to show that every algebra homomorphism of  $A$  into  $R$  is also continuous and lattice-preserving.) Then  $Y$  is a subset of the unit ball in  $A^*$  (the Banach space dual of  $A$ ). Furthermore,  $Y$  is closed in  $A^*$  with respect to the weak\*-topology and hence compact by the Alaoglu theorem. Define  $X_A$  to be  $Y$  with this topology. The isomorphism  $I: A \rightarrow C(X_A)$  is then defined by  $I(f)(\phi) = \phi(f)$  for all  $\phi \in X_A$ .

The set  $X$  may be imbedded in  $X_A$  as follows. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\phi_x(f) = f(x)$  for all  $f \in A$ . It is clear that  $\phi_x \in X_A$ . Furthermore, since  $A$  separates the points of  $X$ , the map  $x \rightarrow \phi_x$  is an injection of  $X$  in  $X_A$ . From now on we will identify  $X$  with its image under this injection and treat  $X$  as a subset of  $X_A$ .

As a subset of  $X_A$ ,  $X$  inherits a completely regular Hausdorff topology which we denote by  $\tau_A$ . It is not hard to verify that  $\tau_A$  is the weakest topology on  $X$  for which the functions in  $A$  are continuous. Thus  $A$  is a closed subalgebra of the algebra  $C^b(X, \tau_A)$  of all bounded, real-valued  $\tau_A$ -continuous functions on  $X$ . However, in general,  $A \neq C^b(X, \tau_A)$ .

**Proposition 1.1**  $(X, \tau_A)$  is dense in  $X_A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\phi_0 \in X_A$  and assume that  $\phi_0$  does not belong to the closure of  $X$  in  $X_A$ . Then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$  such that

$$X \cap \{\phi \in X_A: |\phi(f_i) - \phi_0(f_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\} = \emptyset.$$

Define  $f = \sum_1^n |f_i - \phi_0(f_i)|$ . Then since  $A$  is a lattice,  $f \in A$ , and  $f^{-1} \in A$  since  $f(x) > \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ . Also  $\phi_0(f) = 0$  since  $\phi_0$  is lattice preserving. But then  $\phi_0(1) = \phi_0(f) \cdot \phi_0(f^{-1}) = 0$  which contradicts the fact that  $\phi_0 \neq 0$ .

From the above proposition, it follows that  $X_A$  is a compactification of  $(X, \tau_A)$ . Also it is not difficult to show that  $A$  is exactly the set of functions in  $C^b(X, \tau_A)$  which can be extended uniquely as continuous functions to  $X_A$ . Thus it is clear that  $X_A$  is the Smirnov compactification for the totally-bounded uniformity on  $X$  determined by the algebra  $A$ . (Of course,  $A$  is just the algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on  $X$  for this uniformity.)

We will now discuss briefly how the topology on  $X_A$  is determined intrinsically by that of  $X$ . Much of what follows is analogous to the relation between  $X$  and  $\beta X$  as discussed in ([3]).

**Definition 1.2** For each  $f \in A$ , let  $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ . A subset  $Z \subset X$  is an  $A$ -zero set if  $Z = Z(f)$  for some  $f \in A$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  the set of all  $A$ -zero sets of  $X$ .

The family  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  forms a basis for the closed sets in the topology  $\tau_A$ . The following properties are easily verified.

**Proposition 1.3**

- a)  $X, \emptyset \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ .
- b) If  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ , then  $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ .
- c) If  $\{Z_n : n \in N\} \subset \mathcal{Z}(A)$ , then  $\bigcap \{Z_n : n \in N\} \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ .

We now prove some preliminary results. If  $f \in A$ , we will let  $\hat{f}$  denote the unique extension of  $f$  to  $X_A$ . Also if  $Y$  is a subset of  $X$ ,  $\bar{Y}$  will always denote the closure of  $Y$  in  $X_A$ . (We will maintain this convention throughout the paper.)

**Lemma 1.4** Let  $0 < f \in A$  and let  $Z = Z(\hat{f})$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  and if  $Z_\varepsilon = Z((f - \varepsilon)^+)$ , then  $Z \subset \bar{Z}_\varepsilon \subset Z((\hat{f} - \varepsilon)^+)$ .

**Proof.** It is immediate that  $\bar{Z}_\varepsilon \subset Z((\hat{f} - \varepsilon)^+)$ . Let  $x \in Z$  and let  $\{x_i\}$  be a net in  $X$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow x$ . ( $X$  is dense in  $X_A$ ). Then  $\hat{f}(x_i) = f(x_i) \rightarrow \hat{f}(x) = 0$ . Thus  $\{x_i\}$  is eventually in  $Z_\varepsilon$  which implies that  $x \in \bar{Z}_\varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 1.5** If  $Z \in \mathcal{C}(X_A)$ , then there is a set  $\{Z_n : n \in N\} \subset \mathcal{Z}(A)$  such that  $Z = \bigcap \{Z_n : n \in N\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Z = Z(\hat{f})$  where  $f \in A$ . For each  $n \in N$ , it follows from lemma 1.4 that  $Z \subset \bar{Z}_n \subset Z((|\hat{f}| - n^{-1})^+)$ , where  $Z_n = Z((|f| - n^{-1})^+)$ . Hence we have that

$$Z \subset \bigcap \{\bar{Z}_n : n \in N\} \subset \bigcap \{Z((|\hat{f}| - n^{-1})^+) : n \in N\} = Z.$$

## 2. Separating Algebras.

**Definition 2.1** In the following,  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  will denote a family of closed subsets of  $X$  with the following properties.

- 1.  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  is a base for the  $\tau_A$ -closed sets in  $X$ .
- 2. If  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , then  $G_1 \cap G_2, G_1 \cup G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ .
- 3.  $\mathcal{Z}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(A)$ .

Of course,  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  satisfies the above conditions as does the family of all closed subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2** The algebra  $A$  separates  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  if the following is satisfied. If  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  and if  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ , then there is a function  $f \in A$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  whenever  $x \in G_1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  whenever  $x \in G_2$ . If  $A$  separates  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ , we will say that  $A$  is  $z$ -separating.

It is clear that if  $A$  separates  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ , then the function  $f$  in the above definition may be taken such that  $0 < f < 1$ . Define a family of closed subsets of  $X_A$  by  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \{\bar{G} : G \in \mathcal{U}(A)\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(A)$ , it follows

from proposition 1.5 that  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}(A)$  is a base for the closed sets in  $X_A$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(A))$  denote the Boolean algebra of subsets of  $X$  generated by  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  and let  $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}(A))$  denote the algebra of subsets of  $X_A$  generated by  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ . The following is easily proved.

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $F$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(A))$  if and only if there are sets  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  and  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that the following conditions hold.*

1. For  $i=1, \dots, n$ ,  $H_i \subset G_i$ .
2. For  $i \neq j$ ,  $(G_i - H_i) \cap (G_j - H_j) = \emptyset$ .
3.  $F = \cup \{G_i - H_i : i=1, \dots, n\}$ .

We will need the following result due to SIKORSKI ([8], p. 37).

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be Boolean algebras and assume that the sets  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  generate  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively. Furthermore, let  $\sigma$  be a one-one mapping of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{G}_2$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be extended to a Boolean isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_2$  if and only if for every sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{G}_1$  and for every sequence of numbers  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  (where  $\varepsilon_i$  is either 1 or  $-1$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ ), the following holds.*

$$(*) \quad \varepsilon_1 a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon_n a_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon_1 \sigma(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon_n \sigma(a_n) = 0.$$

(Here, of course,  $1x$  and  $-1x$  denote  $x$  and the complement of  $x$  respectively for every  $x$  in the Boolean algebra.)

**Proposition 2.5** *Let  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  satisfy the conditions of definition 2.1. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1.  $A$  separates  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ .
2. Let  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . If  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2 = \emptyset$ .
3. Let  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . Then  $\overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2 = \overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2$ .
4. Let  $G_1, G_2, H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . If  $(G_1 - H_1) \cap (G_2 - H_2) = \emptyset$ , then  $(\overline{G}_1 - \overline{H}_1) \cap (\overline{G}_2 - \overline{H}_2) = \emptyset$ .
5. The mapping  $\sigma: \mathcal{U}(A) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}}(A)$  defined by  $\sigma(G) = \overline{G}$  can be extended to a Boolean algebra isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(A))$  onto  $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}(A))$ .

**Proof.**  $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  be such that  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ . Then there is a function  $f \in A$  such that  $0 < f < 1$ ,  $f(x) = 0$  whenever  $x \in G_1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  whenever  $x \in G_2$ . Thus we have that  $\overline{G}_1 \subset Z(\hat{f})$  and  $\overline{G}_2 \subset Z(1 - \hat{f})$ . Hence  $\overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2 = \emptyset$ .

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$  We must show that  $\overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2 \subset \overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2$ . Hence let  $x_0 \in \overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2$ . Let  $W$  be any zero set neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $X_A$ . (That is,  $W = \{x \in X_A : \hat{f}(x) > 0\}$  for some  $f \in A$  with  $\hat{f}(x_0) > 0$ .) Since the zero set neighborhoods in  $X_A$  form a base for the open sets, it is sufficient to show that  $W \cap (G_1 \cap G_2) \neq \emptyset$ .

Set  $U = W \cap X = \{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$ . Then  $x_0 \in \overline{U \cap G_1}$ . Indeed, if  $V$  is any zero set neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $X_A$ , then

$$V \cap (U \cap G_1) = (V \cap W) \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset,$$

since  $x_0 \in \bar{G}_1$ . Similarly it follows that  $x_0 \in \overline{U \cap G_2}$ . Thus,  $(\overline{U \cap G_1}) \cap (\overline{U \cap G_2}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U$  is an  $A$ -zero set  $U \cap G_1, U \cap G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . Hence by condition 2,  $U \cap (G_1 \cap G_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x_0 \in \overline{G_1 \cap G_2}$ .

(3  $\Rightarrow$  2) This is obvious.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  1) Assume that  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ . Then by assumption,  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $X_A$  is a compact Hausdorff space, by Urysohn's lemma, there is  $g \in C(X_A)$  such that  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{G}_1$  and  $g(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \bar{G}_2$ . Since the mapping  $I: A \rightarrow C(X_A)$  is onto, there is an  $f \in A$  such that  $g = \hat{f}$ . Then  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in G_1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in G_2$ . Thus  $A$  separates  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ .

(3  $\Rightarrow$  4) Let  $x_0 \in (\bar{G}_1 - \bar{H}_1) \cap (\bar{G}_2 - \bar{H}_2)$ . By condition 3,

$$(\bar{G}_1 - \bar{H}_1) \cap (\bar{G}_2 - \bar{H}_2) = \overline{(G_1 \cap G_2)} - \overline{(H_1 \cup H_2)}.$$

Hence  $x_0 \in \overline{G_1 \cap G_2}$  and  $x_0 \notin \overline{H_1 \cup H_2}$ . Let  $U$  be a zero set neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $X_A$  such that  $U \cap (H_1 \cup H_2) = \emptyset$  and let  $y_0 \in U \cap (G_1 \cap G_2)$ . Then  $y_0 \in (G_1 - H_1) \cap (G_2 - H_2)$ .

(4  $\Rightarrow$  2) The result follows immediately by taking  $H_1 = H_2 = \emptyset$ .

(4  $\Rightarrow$  5) Note first that the mapping  $\sigma$  is one-one. (Indeed, if  $\bar{G}_1 = \bar{G}_2$ , then  $G_1 = X \cap \bar{G}_1 = X \cap \bar{G}_2 = G_2$  since  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are closed in  $X$ .) We will now show that condition (\*) of proposition 2.4 is satisfied. Indeed, if  $G_1, \dots, G_n, H_1, \dots, H_n$  are elements of  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ , set

$$G = \bigcap \{G_i: i=1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } H = \bigcup \{H_i: i=1, \dots, n\}.$$

If

$$G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n \cap (H_1)^c \cap \dots \cap (H_n)^c = G - H = \emptyset,$$

then  $(G - H) \cap (X - \emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Since  $G, H, X, \emptyset \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , condition 4 implies that  $(\bar{G} - \bar{H}) \cap (X_A - \emptyset) = \emptyset$ . That is,  $\bar{G} - \bar{H} = \emptyset$ . From condition 3 (which we have already seen is implied by condition 4), it follows that  $\bar{G} = \bigcap \{\bar{G}_i: i=1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus it follows that  $\bar{G}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{G}_n \cap (\bar{H}_1)^c \cap \dots \cap (\bar{H}_n)^c = \bar{G} - \bar{H} = \emptyset$ . On the other hand, if  $\bar{G}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{G}_n \cap (\bar{H}_1)^c \cap \dots \cap (\bar{H}_n)^c = \emptyset$ , then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n \cap (H_1)^c \cap \dots \cap (H_n)^c &= X \cap [\bar{G}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{G}_n \cap \\ &\quad (\bar{H}_1)^c \cap \dots \cap (\bar{H}_n)^c] = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by proposition 2.4,  $\sigma$  may be extended to an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(A))$  onto  $\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}(A))$ .

(5  $\Rightarrow$  2) As above it follows that  $\sigma$  is one-one. Hence by proposition 2.4, if  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  and if  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\bar{G}_1 \cap \bar{G}_2 = \sigma(G_1) \cap \sigma(G_2) = \emptyset$ . The proof is complete.

The following is an immediate consequence of proposition 2.3 and condition 5 of the above proposition.

**Proposition 2.6** *Let  $A$  separate  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  and let  $F$  be a subset of  $X_A$ . Then  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(A))$  if and only if there are sets  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  and  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that the following conditions hold.*

1. *For  $i=1, \dots, n$ ,  $\bar{H}_i \subset \bar{G}_i$ .*
2. *For  $i \neq j$ ,  $(\bar{G}_i - \bar{H}_i) \cap (\bar{G}_j - \bar{H}_j) = \emptyset$ .*
3.  *$F = \cup \{\bar{G}_i - \bar{H}_i: i=1, \dots, n\}$ .*

### 3. $Z$ -separating Algebras

In this section we will consider questions about  $z$ -separating algebras. We begin with some examples. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. (In general,  $\tau$  will not be the topology  $\tau_A$  on  $X$  determined by the algebras considered below.) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$  such that 1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$  and 2)  $\cup \{X_n: n \in N\} \in \mathcal{A}$  whenever  $\{X_n: n \in N\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $A_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the set of all bounded, real-valued functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $f$  is continuous on  $X - Y$  for some  $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ . (That is, the restriction of  $f$  to  $X - Y$  is continuous with respect to the relative topology on  $X - Y$ .) Note that if  $f$  is a  $\tau$ -continuous bounded real-valued function on  $X$ , then  $f \in A_{\mathcal{A}}$  since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *The set  $A_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $z$ -separating algebra.*

**Proof.** It is easy to verify that  $A_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an algebra of bounded, real-valued functions on  $X$  which contains the constants and separates the points of  $X$ . In order to see that  $A_{\mathcal{A}}$  is uniformly closed, let  $\{f_n\} \subset A_{\mathcal{A}}$  and assume that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $X$ . Take  $X_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f_n$  is continuous on  $X - X_n$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $X - \cup \{X_n: n \in N\}$ . Thus  $f \in A_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Now take  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  such that  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$ . Take  $f, f_2 \in A$  such that  $Z_1 = Z(f_1)$  and  $Z_2 = Z(f_2)$ . Now define  $f = f_1^2 \cdot (f_1^2 + f_2^2)^{-1}$ . It is clear that  $f$  is bounded. (In fact,  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ .) Furthermore, if  $f_1$  is continuous on  $X - X_1$  and  $f_2$  is continuous on  $X - X_2$  with  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $f$  is continuous on  $X - (X_1 \cup X_2)$ . Hence  $f \in A_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in Z_1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in Z_2$ , it follows that  $A_{\mathcal{A}}$  is  $z$ -separating.

We now list some particular cases of the family of  $z$ -separating algebras described above.

**Example 3.2** If  $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $A_{\mathcal{A}} = C^b(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.3** Let  $A$  be the set of all bounded real-valued functions on  $(X, \tau)$  which are continuous except on a set of the first category. Then  $A$  is a  $z$ -separating algebra. (Take  $\mathcal{A}$  to be the family of subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  of the first category.)

**Example 3.4** Let  $m$  be a measure (defined for some  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ .) Let  $A$  be the set of bounded real-valued functions on  $X$  which are continuous except on a set of  $m$ -measure zero. Then  $A$  is a  $z$ -separating algebra.

**Example 3.5** Let  $I$  be any interval (finite or infinite) in the set  $R$  of real numbers. Then the set  $A$  of all bounded locally-Riemann integrable functions on  $I$  is a  $z$ -separating algebra. (Indeed,  $A = A_{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the family of all subsets of  $I$  of Lebesgue measure zero.)

Lest the reader be tempted to conjecture that all algebras are  $z$ -separating, the next theorem will show in effect that there are many algebras  $A$  which are not  $z$ -separating. Before stating it, however, some preliminary discussion is in order. If  $(X, \tau)$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space, a uniformly closed subalgebra of  $C^b(X, \tau)$  which contains the constants and separates the points of  $X$  will be called an *SW-algebra*. (The SW stands for Stone-Wierstrass.) Following GILLMAN-JERRISON [3], let  $\beta X$  and  $\nu X$  denote the Stone-Cech compactification and the realcompactification of  $(X, \tau)$  respectively. Finally the recall that  $(X, \tau)$  is called pseudocompact if every  $\tau$ -continuous real-valued function on  $X$  is bounded.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Assume that  $x_1, x_2 \in \beta X - \nu X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then there are functions  $g_1, g_2 \in C^b(X, \tau)$  such that the following conditions hold.*

1.  $Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) = \emptyset$ .
2.  $x_1, x_2 \in Z(\hat{g}_1) \cap Z(\hat{g}_2)$  where  $\hat{g}_i$  is the unique extension of  $g_i$  to  $\beta X$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
3. For  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $x_i \in cl_{\beta X}(Z(g_i))$ .

**Proof.** Since  $x_1, x_2 \in \beta X - \nu X$ , there are zero sets  $Z_1, Z_2 \in (C(\beta X))$  such that  $x_1 \in Z_1$ ,  $x_2 \in Z_2$ ,  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$  and  $X \cap Z_1 = X \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in C^b(X)$  be such that  $Z_1 = Z(\hat{f})$  and  $Z_2 = Z(1 - \hat{f})$ . Define sets

$$W_1 = \{x \in \beta X : \hat{f}(x) \leq 1/3\} \text{ and } W_2 = \{x \in \beta X : \hat{f}(x) \geq 2/3\}.$$

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in C^b(X)$  be such that  $Z(\hat{g}_1) = W_1 \cup Z_2$  and  $Z(\hat{g}_2) = W_2 \cup Z_1$ . Then

$$Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) = X \cap (W_1 \cup Z_2) \cap (W_2 \cup Z_1) = X \cap W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset,$$

and  $x_1, x_2 \in Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2)$ . Finally for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $x_i \in cl_{\beta X}(Z(g_i))$ . Indeed, if  $W$  is any zero set neighborhood of  $x_i$  in  $\beta X$ , then  $W \cap W_i$  is a zero set neighborhood of  $x_i$  and so  $X \cap W \cap W_i \neq \emptyset$ . But  $W \cap Z(g_i) = X \cap W \cap W_i$ . The proof is complete.

The following is from [3], p. 136.

**Lemma 3.7** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Then  $\beta X - \nu X$  is either empty or it has cardinality at least  $2^c$ .*

**Lemma 3.8** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and let  $x_1, x_2 \in \beta X - \nu X$ , with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then the set  $A = \{f \in C^b(X) : \hat{f}(x_1) = \hat{f}(x_2)\}$  is an SW-algebra which is not  $z$ -separating.*

**Proof.** It is an easy matter to verify that  $A$  is an SW-algebra. In order to see that  $A$  is not  $z$ -separating, let  $g_1, g_2 \in C^b(X)$  be taken as in

lemma 3.6. Then  $g_1, g_2 \in A$  by condition 2 of that lemma. Also we have that  $Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) = \emptyset$ . Now if  $f \in C^b(X)$  is such that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in Z(g_1)$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in Z(g_2)$ , then  $\hat{f}(x_1) = 0$  and  $\hat{f}(x_2) = 1$  by condition 3 of lemma 3.6. Thus  $f \notin A$  and so  $A$  is not  $z$ -separating.

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. The every SW-algebra on  $(X, \tau)$  is  $z$ -separating if and only if  $(X, \tau)$  is pseudocompact.*

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $A$  be an SW-algebra which is not  $z$ -separating and take  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  such that  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$  but  $\bar{Z}_1 \cap \bar{Z}_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $f_1, f_2 \in A$  such that  $Z_1 = Z(f_1)$  and  $Z_2 = Z(f_2)$ . Set  $g = (f_1^2 + f_2^2)^{-1}$ . Then  $g$  is  $\tau$ -continuous and unbounded. Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is not pseudocompact.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $X$  is not pseudocompact. This is equivalent to assuming that  $\beta X - \nu X \neq \emptyset$ . Then by lemma 3.7, there are two distinct points  $x_1, x_2 \in \beta X - \nu X$ . Hence by lemma 3.8, there is an SW-algebra on  $(X, \tau)$  which is not  $z$ -separating.

From the above theorem, it follows that there are many examples of algebras which are not  $z$ -separating. However, we will now give one particular example which we will refer to occasionally below.

**Example 3.10** Let  $X$  be the set of rational numbers in  $[0, 1]$  with its usual topology. Let  $A$  be the set of functions on  $X$  which are uniformly continuous on  $X$ . (That is,  $A$  is the set of restrictions to  $X$  of the functions continuous on  $[0, 1]$ .) It is obvious that  $A$  is a uniformly closed algebra of bounded continuous real-valued functions which contains the constants and separates the points of  $X$ . Furthermore, it is easy to see that  $X_A = [0, 1]$ .

In order to see that  $A$  is not  $z$ -separating, let  $\alpha$  be any irrational number in  $[0, 1]$ . The set  $Z_1 = \{x \in X : x < \alpha\}$  and  $Z_2 = \{x \in X : \alpha < x\}$ . Then  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  and  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$ . However,  $\bar{Z}_1 \cap \bar{Z}_2 = \{\alpha\}$ . Hence  $A$  is not  $z$ -separating by condition 2 of proposition 2.5.

We will now briefly consider the following question. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and let  $A$  be an SW-algebra on  $X$ . When is  $A = C^b(X, \tau)$ ? The Stone-Wierstrass theorem states that if  $(X, \tau)$  is compact, then  $C^b(X)$  is the only SW-algebra on  $(X, \tau)$ . It is interesting to note that this fact characterizes compact spaces as the following shows. (Compare [4], theorem 3.)

**Proposition 3.11** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $(X, \tau)$  is compact.
2.  $C^b(X)$  is the only SW-algebra on  $X$ .
3.  $C^b(X)$  is the only  $z$ -separating SW-algebra on  $X$ .
4.  $C^b(X)$  is a minimal SW-algebra on  $(X, \delta)$  where  $\delta$  denotes the discrete topology on  $X$ .



Proof. (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) This is the Stone-Wierstrass theorem.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3) This is obvious.

(3  $\Rightarrow$  1) If  $X$  is not compact, let  $x_1 \in X$  and  $x_2 \in \beta X - X$ . Define  $A = \{f \in C^b(X) : f(x_1) = \hat{f}(x_2)\}$ . Then  $A$  is easily seen to be an SW-algebra on  $X$  different from  $C^b(X)$ . If  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ , let  $f_1, f_2 \in A$  be such that  $Z_1 = Z(f_1)$  and  $Z_2 = Z(f_2)$ . If  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $g = f_1^2 \cdot (f_1^2 + f_2^2)^{-1} \in C^b(X)$ . Furthermore,  $g(x_1) = \hat{g}(x_2)$  so that  $g \in A$ . Since  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in Z_1$  and  $g(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in Z_2$ ,  $A$  is  $z$ -separating.

(1  $\Rightarrow$  4) This is immediate from the Stone-Wierstrass theorem.

(4  $\Rightarrow$  2) This is obvious.

**Theorem 3.12** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and let  $A$  be an SW-algebra on  $X$ . Then  $A = C^b(X)$  if and only if the following two conditions hold.*

1.  $A$  is  $z$ -separating.
2.  $\mathcal{Z}(A) = \mathcal{Z}(C^b(X))$ .

Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) This is obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\hat{A} = \{\hat{f} : f \in A\}$  denote the extensions of the elements of  $A$  to  $\beta X$ . If we can show that  $\hat{A}$  separates the points of  $\beta X$ , it will follow from the Stone-Wierstrass theorem that  $\hat{A} = C(\beta X)$  and hence that  $A = C^b(X)$ .

Hence take  $x_1, x_2 \in \beta X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then there are zero set neighborhoods  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively in  $\beta X$  such that  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $Z_1 = X \cap W_1$  and  $Z_2 = X \cap W_2$ . By condition 2,  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ . By condition 1 there is an  $f \in A$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in Z_1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in Z_2$ . Hence  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  and the proof is complete.

It is not difficult to see that neither condition in the theorem can be omitted. Indeed, example 3.5 is an SW-algebra on the space  $R$  with the discrete topology (which in fact is the topology  $\tau_A$  for this example). On the other hand, the algebra  $A$  of example 3.10 satisfies condition 2 (since every closed set is an  $A$ -zero set) but fails to be  $z$ -separating.

**Corollary 3.13** *Let  $A$  be an SW-algebra on the completely regular space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A = C^b(X)$  if and only if  $A$  separates  $(C^b(X))$ .*

(To be continued)